

## REGULATORY SERVICES COMMITTEE

## **REPORT**

4 SEPTEMBER 2014

Subject Heading: Prosecutions update

Report Author and contact details:

Simon Thelwell Projects and Regulations Manager 01708 432685

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

[X]
[]
[x]
[x]
[x]

**SUMMARY** 

This report updates the Committee on the progress and/or outcome of recent prosecutions undertaken on behalf of the Planning Service

**RECOMMENDATIONS** 

That the report be noted.

## REPORT DETAIL

- 1. Failure to comply with the requirements of an Enforcement Notice is an offence prosecutable through the Courts.
- 2. A Local Planning Authority is not obliged to proceed to prosecution. In practice this power tends to be sparingly used by Local Planning Authorities primarily for two reasons. Firstly, LPAs are encouraged through national guidance to seek negotiated solutions to planning breaches. Formal action should be used as a last resort and only where clearly expedient and proportionate to the circumstances of the case. Secondly, prosecutions have significant resource implications which can compete for priority against other elements of workload both for Planning and Legal Services.
- 3. As confirmed in the Policy for Planning Enforcement in Havering, prosecutions should only be pursued on legal advice, when it is clearly in the public interest and when the evidential threshold has been reached, ie where it is more likely than not (a greater than 50% probability) that a conviction will be secured
- 4 There have been no prosecutions this quarter.

## **IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS**

**Financial implications and risks:** Financial resources are required to undertake Prosecutions

**Legal implications and risks:** Prosecutions requires use of legal resources.

**Human Resources implications and risks:** None identified.

**Equalities implications and risks:** The Councils planning powers are

implemented with regard for equalities and diversity